

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,613	10/28/2003	Lillian R. Paolino	P/113-14	6303
7:	590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Philip M. Weiss DANG, HUNG XUAN			NG XUAN	
Weiss & Weiss			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 Old Country Road Suite 251			2873	
Mineola, NY	11501			_

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,613	PAOLINO, LILLIAN R				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung X. Dang	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/14/	<b>706</b> .					
	action is non-final.		•			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stag	je			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
	or the continue copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

1. The amendment filed on 2/4/06 has been entered.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wilhelmi (4,986,650).

Wilhelmi discloses sunglasses comprises solid frames 12 having a pair of lenses; said frames 12 consisting of a one piece solid molded frame; said frames 12 having a nose bridge 14 which fits on top of a users nose; said frames 12 secured around a user's head by a single band 16; said band 16 secured to said frames by two securing pieces (see at least figures 1,3, column 3, lines 45-65 and the related disclosure.)

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Beames (D 466,543).

Beames discloses sunglasses comprises solid frames having a pair of lenses; said frames consisting of a one piece solid molded frame; said frames having a nose bridge which fits on top of a users nose; said frames secured around a user's head by a

Application/Control Number: 10/695,613

Art Unit: 2873

single band; said band secured to said frames by two securing pieces (see at least figures 1,2,4 and 5.)

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

- **4.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Beames** (D 466,543) in view of **Hoff** (5,406,340).

Beames discloses sunglasses comprises solid frames having a pair of lenses; said frames consisting of a one piece solid molded frame; said frames having a nose bridge which fits on top of a users nose; said frames secured around a user's head by a single band; said band secured to said frames by two securing pieces (see at least figures 1,2,4 and 5.)

Beames does not explicitly state that the Velcro is used for both securing pieces; and wherein said band can be totally removed from said frames and replaced with other similar bands.

Hoff, however, discloses the Velcro is used for both securing pieces; and wherein said band can be totally removed from said frames and replaced with other similar bands.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,613

Art Unit: 2873

Because Beames and Hoff are both from the same field of endeavor, the

Page 4

purpose of using the Velcro for securing the bands to the frame so that the bands can

be adjusted and/or replaced with other similar bands as disclosed by Hoff would have

been recognized as an art pertinent art of Beames.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a

person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses frame, such as the one

disclosed by Beames, with the Velcro is used for both securing pieces; and wherein

said band can be totally removed from said frames and replaced with other similar

bands, such as disclosed by Hoff for the purpose of the purpose of using the Velcro for

securing the bands to the frame so that the bands can be adjusted and/or replaced with

other similar bands.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang

at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

2/06

**HUNG DANG** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800